July 11, 1974

Watchtower Bible and Tract Society 117 Adams St. Brooklyn, New York 11201

Dear Sirs:

I have a copy of your letter addressed to <u>Caris</u> in Santa Ana, California, and I am writing to express my disagreement with statements made in that letter, as well as in quotations you have made from the Dana-Mantey Greek Grammar.

(1) Your statement: "their work allows for the rendering found in the <u>Kingdom Interlinear Translation of the Greek Scriptures</u> at John 1:1." There is no statement in our grammar that was ever meant to imply that "a god" was a permissible translation in John 1:1.

A. We had no "rule" to argue in support of the Trinity.

B. Neither did we state that we did have such intention. We were simply delineating the facts inherent in Biblical language.

C. Your quotation from page 148 (3) was in a paragraph under the heading: "With the Subject in a Copulative Sentence." Two examples occur there to illustrate that "the article points out the subject in these examples." But we made no statement in this paragraph about the predicate except that, "as it stands the other persons of the Trinity may be implied in <u>theos</u>." And isn't that the opposite of what your translation "a god" infers? You quoted me out of context. On pages 139 and 140 (VI) in our grammar we stated: "without the article <u>theos</u> signifies divine essence.... <u>theos on ho logos</u> emphasizes Christ's participation in the essence of the divine nature." Our interpretation is in agreement with that in NEB and the TEV: "What God was, the Word was"; and with that of Barclay: "The nature of the Word was the same as the nature of God," which you quoted in your letter to Caris.

(2) Since Colwell's and Harner's articles in JBL, especially that of Harner, it is neither scholarly nor reasonable to translate John 1:1 "The Word was a god". Word order has made obsolete and incorrect such a rendering.

(3) Your quotation of Colwell's rule is inadequate because it quotes
only a part of his findings. You did not quote this strong assertion:
"A predicate nominative which precedes the verb cannot be translated as

81

an indefinite or a 'qualitative' noun soley because of the absence of the article."

- 2 -

(4) Prof. Harner, Vol. 92.1 (1973) in JBL, has gone beyond Colwell's research and has discovered that anarthrous predicate nouns preceding the verb function primarily to express the nature of character of the subject. He found this true in 53 passages in the Gospel of John and 8 in the Gospel of Mark. Both scholars wrote that when indefiniteness was intended, the Gospel writers regularly placed the predicate noun after the verb, and both Colwell and Harner have stated that <u>theos</u> in John 1:1 is not indefinite and should not be translated "a god". Watchtower writers appear to be the only ones advocating such a translation now. The evidence appears to be 99% against them.

(5) Your statement in your letter that the sacred text itself should guide one and "not just someone's rule book". We agree with you. But our study proves that Jehovah's Witnesses do the opposite of that whenever the "sacred text" differs with their heretical beliefs. For example, the translation of kolasis as "cutting off" when "punishment" is the only meaning cited in the lexicons for it. The mistranslation of ego eimi as "I have been" in John 8:58. The addition of "for all time" in Hebrews 9:27 when nothing in the Greek New Testament supports it. The attempt to belittle Christ by mistranslating arche tes ktisoos "beginning of the creation" when he is magnified as "the creator of all things" (John 1:2), and as "equal with God" (Phil. 2:6) before he humbled himself and lived in a human body here on earth. Your quotation of "The Father is greater than I am" (John 14:28) to prove that Jesus was not equal to God overlooks the fact stated in Phil. 2:6-8. when Jesus said that he was still in his voluntary state of humiliation. That state ended when he ascended to heaven. Why the attempt to deliberately deceive people by mispunctuation by placing a comma after "today" in Luke 23:43 when in the Greek, Latin, German and all English translations except yours, even in the Greek in your KIT, the comma occurs after lego (I say)?-- "Today you will be with me in Paradise." 2 Cor. 5:8, "to be out of the body and at home with the Lord". These passages teach that the redeemed go immediately to heaven after death, which does not agree with your teachings that death ends all life until the resurrection (Ps. 23:6 and Heb. 1:10).

82

The above are only a few examples of Watchtower mistranslations and perversions of God's Word.

In view of the preceding facts, especially because you have been quoting me out of context, I herewith request you not to quote the <u>Manual</u> <u>Grammar of the Greek New Testament</u> again, which you have been doing for 24 years. Also, that you not quote it or me in any of your publications from this time on.

Also, that you publicly and immediately apologize in the Watchtower magazine, since my words had no relevance to the absence of the article before <u>theos</u> in John 1:1. And please write to Caris and state that you misused and misquoted my "rule".

On the page before the Preface in the grammar are these words: "All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission in writing from the publisher".

If you have such permission, please send me a photocopy of it.

If you do not heed these requests, you will suffer the consequences.

Regretfully yours, Julius R. Montey Julius R. Mantey

TRANSLATIONS WHICH STATE THAT "THE WORD WAS GOD" Douay - "and the Word was God". Rotherham - "and the Word was God". King James Version - "the Word was God". Jerusalem Bible - "and the Word was God". The New Life Testament - "the Word was God". The Berkley Version - "and the Word was God". New Translation (Darby) - "the Word was God". Modern King James Version - "the Word was God". Revised Standard Version - "and the Word was God". American Standard Version - "and the Word was God". The New International Version - "the Word was God". Numeric English New Testament - "the Word was God". The New American Standard Bible - "and the Word was God". The New Testament in Basic English - "and the Word was God". Young's Literal Translation of the Bible - "and the Word was God". The New Testament in Modern Speech (Weymouth) - "and the Word was God". The New Testament in Modern English (Montgomery) - "and the Word was God". The New Testament in Modern English (Phillips) "that word, was with God, and was God". The text of the Emphatic Diaglott (published by the Watchtower Bible and Tract Society) "and the Logos was God". English Bible - "and what God was, the Word was". ay's English Version - "and he was the same as God". ew Translation of the Bible (Moffatt) - "the Logos was divine". Complete Bible---An American Translation (Smith & Goodspeed) - "the Word was divine".